

Spot Size Ratio Value Affects Accuracy

Written by Warren C. Garber and R. James Seffrin, Infraspection Institute
Monday, 01 July 2002 16:41

Thermographers who traditionally have relied on IFOV values should consider using ratio values instead.

As the art and science of infrared thermography has matured, infrared test equipment has evolved. Technological advances during the past 15 years have enabled equipment manufacturers to design infrared imagers capable of providing real-time noncontact temperature measurements. The evolution of modern imaging radiometers has progressed to the point that most commercially available infrared cameras are now capable of providing noncontact temperature measurements.

When using a radiometer to measure object temperatures, several important factors must be addressed. Among these are target emittance, atmospheric attenuation, spectral response of the imaging radiometer, and measurement spot size. While spot size is not defined in currently published standards, it is generally defined as the area from which radiometric or temperature data are derived.

For accurate temperature measurement, the spot size of the radiometer must be smaller than the target being measured. Should the spot size be larger than the target, error will be introduced into the measurement. The amount of error will be dependent upon a number of factors, none of which can be corrected for by any means including radiometric software.

Lack of spot size information

While many nonimaging radiometers now employ a laser sighting system to project relative spot size onto the object being measured, no such sighting system exists for imaging radiometers. As such, it is impossible for a thermographer to visually gauge the spot size of the imager in use. In fact, many thermographers mistakenly believe that imaging radiometers are capable of producing accurate temperatures equal to the pixel size of the imager.

Although many modern infrared imagers are capable of measuring temperature, infrared equipment manufacturers generally do not provide information regarding spot size or how to calculate it. In contrast, nonimaging radiometers have always expressed spot size as a distance to target ratio such as 50:1. Applying this ratio, at 50 in. from a target, the spot size would be 1 in. This methodology provides a simple and quick means for calculating spot size at any distance from the target.

Spot Size Ratio Value Affects Accuracy

Written by Warren C. Garber and R. James Seffrin, Infraspction Institute
Monday, 01 July 2002 16:41

To date, manufacturers of infra-red imagers continue to apply the "slit response function test" to imaging radiometers. From this test, the only figure consistently reported is the milliradian angle at 50 percent of the radiance received by the imager; this is known as the instantaneous field of view (IFOV). The results of the slit response function test enable a user to calculate minimum target size or the distance at which there is a 50 percent probability of detection of a target.

IFOV problems

Applying the slit response function test values poses several problems. Among them are:

- Because the slit response function test is a measure of the visual performance of an infrared imager, it has no bearing on the temperature measurement accuracy of an imaging radiometer.
- Slit response values do not state the geometric configuration or orientation of the target tested.
- The slit response test values do not specify the orientation of the detector during testing.
- Since quantitative thermographers are interested in accurate temperature readings, temperature measurements based upon 50 percent accuracy are of little or no value to them.

**Table 1. Distance to Target Ratio Values
for Imaging Radiometers
(98 percent accuracy)**

| IR IMAGER | TARGET SHAPE | | |
|-----------|---|---|---|
| |  |  |  |
| Imager #1 | 249 | 225 | 153 |
| Imager #2 | 175 | 379 | 144 |
| Imager #3 | 523 | 508 | 212 |
| Imager #4 | 551 | 643 | 183 |
| Imager #5 | 315 | 341 | 250 |
| Imager #6 | 159 | 165 | 181 |

By following the "Guideline for Measuring Distance/Target Size Values for Quantitative Thermal Imaging Cameras" published by the Infraspction Institute, the authors were able to calculate spot size ratio values for several modern imaging radiometers for varying percentages of accuracy. See "Table 1 Distance to Target Ratio Values for Imaging Radiometers."

The information contained in Table 1 was derived using the normal lens supplied with each imager. Using telephoto or wide-angle lenses on any imaging radiometer will change ratio

Spot Size Ratio Value Affects Accuracy

Written by Warren C. Garber and R. James Seffrin, Infraspction Institute
Monday, 01 July 2002 16:41

values proportionate to the magnification power of the lens. For example, using a 2x telephoto lens will effectively double the ratio values in Table 1; use of a 2x wide-angle lens will effectively halve the listed values.

Several interesting observations were made from the data obtained during testing:

- Spot measurement size for the radiometers tested varied considerably from the theoretical values obtained by using published IFOV values.
- In some cases, the visual IFOV was up to five times smaller than measurement spot size calculated from Table 1 values.
- Target shape influences spot measurement size.
- Some imaging radiometers had lower values when the target was horizontally oriented; others had lower values when the target was vertically oriented.
- Most imagers performed worst on circular targets.
- For some imaging radiometers, the on-screen crosshair did not always define the center of the measurement area.
- Using electronic zoom did not change measurement spot size.
- The distance to spot ratios listed in Table 1 can be used to calculate the maximum distance for accurate temperature measurement. For greatest accuracy, one should be conservative in applying these numbers.
- Spot measurement size varies linearly as distance to the target increases or decreases.

Calculate target size, distance

The distance to spot ratios listed in Table 1 can be used to calculate either the minimum target size or maximum distance at 98 percent accuracy.

To calculate the minimum target size at a given distance, divide the distance from the camera lens to the target by the listed value that corresponds to the shape of the target.

Minimum Target Size = Distance/Listed Value

For example: You want to measure the temperature of a circular target 10 ft away with Imager #3:

Spot Size Ratio Value Affects Accuracy

Written by Warren C. Garber and R. James Seffrin, Infraspction Institute
Monday, 01 July 2002 16:41

Circular Spot Size = 10 ft (120 in.)/212 = 0.566 in.

To calculate the maximum distance for a given target size, measure or estimate the size of the target and multiply the listed value by the target size to obtain the maximum distance.

Maximum Distance = Listed Value x Target Size

For example: You are inspecting a 1 in. circular target with Imager #6. How close should you be to measure the temperature?

181 x 1 in. = 181 in. or 15.08 ft

Applying the figures in Table 1, thermographers can develop simple diagrams similar to those commonly used for spot radiometers.

Compare IFOV, spot ratio values

Since many thermographers have long used IFOV values to calculate spot size, it is interesting to note how greatly this method differs from our results. The formula is as follows:

Spot Size = [IFOV (in milliradians)/1000] x Distance to target

Using the published IFOV values for Imager #2 at 30 ft (360 in.) from target, we calculate:

(1.3/1000) x 360 in. = 0.468 in.

Using IFOV value does not consider target shape nor does it state a percentage of accuracy. In

Spot Size Ratio Value Affects Accuracy

Written by Warren C. Garber and R. James Seffrin, Infrasppection Institute
Monday, 01 July 2002 16:41

fact, this value is derived with the radiometer receiving only 50 percent radiance from the blackbody simulator. IFOV values do not relate to spot size since they are a measurement of individual pixel size. Most imaging radiometers require more than one pixel for accurate temperature measurement. Since detectors vary among manufacturers so will the number and orientation of the pixels required for accurate temperature measurement.

Using the calculated ratio values from Table 1 for Imager #2 at 30 ft (360 in.), we calculate:

Vertical rectangle: $360 \text{ in.}/175 = 2.057 \text{ in.}$

Horizontal rectangle: $360 \text{ in.}/379 = 0.949 \text{ in.}$

Circular: $360 \text{ in.}/144 = 2.500 \text{ in.}$

These spot sizes vary considerably from that calculated by using the IFOV value. As stated earlier, spot size must be smaller than the target in order to accurately measure target temperatures. Radiometric software cannot correct temperatures obtained with an incorrect spot size.

Conclusion

Using IFOV values does not provide meaningful data with respect to spot measurement size of an imaging radiometer. The Infrasppection Institute's "Guideline for Measuring Distance/Target Size Values for Quantitative Thermal Imaging Cameras" can be used to calculate distance to spot ratios for any imaging radiometer. This test can be set up with a minimum of equipment and facilities and provides meaningful data about an individual radiometer's accuracy. Knowing a radiometer's accuracy enables a thermographer to better understand his limitations when measuring temperatures.

Thermographers who traditionally have relied on IFOV values should consider using ratio values instead. This is especially true for anyone performing remote infrared inspections of small targets such as overhead power lines or substation components. In these situations, thermographers performing quantitative inspections should consider moving closer to subject

Spot Size Ratio Value Affects Accuracy

Written by Warren C. Garber and R. James Seffrin, Infraspction Institute
Monday, 01 July 2002 16:41

targets or using a telephoto lens. **MT**

[Warren C. Garber](#) is a staff instructor and [R. James Seffrin](#) is director of the [Infraspction Institute](#), 425
Ellis St., Burlington, NJ 08016; (609) 386-1281